Heterogeneous changes in avian body size across and within species

# Michael D. Collins, George E. Relyea, Erica C. Blustein & Steven M. Badami

Journal of Orn olo





Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Dt. **Ornithologen-Gesellschaft e.V.. This e-offprint** is for personal use only and shall not be selfarchived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".



steigende Sommertemperaturen generell in Verbindung mit kleinerer Flugellänge, aber hatten keinen Zusammenhang mit fettfreier Masse oder Fettwert. Eine geringere fettfreie Masse, nicht aber Fuellange oder Fettwert, stand im Zusammenhang mit steigenden Temperaturen im Wintermittel. Die Temperatureffekte unterschieden sichkfeines der drei Maße signi kant zwischen Arten der alle nichtziehenden Arten hinweg war das Ausmaß der der der der Körpergröße über die Zeit nicht korreliert mit dem Ein uss der mittleren Winter- oder Sommertemperatur und könnte durch andere Faktoren bedingt sein. Unsere Ergebnisse stehen im Kontrast zu denen einer nahegelegenen Beringungsstation, in der weitreichende Abnahmen in der Flügellänge und der fettfreien Kopermasse beobachtet wurden. Unsere Ergebnisse zeigen, dass Populationen einer einzigen Arber kurze Entfernungen (\ 250 km) entgegengesetzte Vedærungen in der Körpergröße aufweisen konen. Wir schließen daraus, dass Veränderungen in der Kopergröße über kurze Zeitrame heterogen sind und sich innerhalb von und zwischen Arten auch über kurze Entfernungen unterscheidenniken. Ständige Fortschritte im Verätadnis des Zusammenhangs zwischen Verraderungen in der Kropergröße und Klimawechsel missen die Komplexitta erfassen und alternative Hypothesen ins Geschabringen.

#### Introduction

Mounting evidence continues to demonstrate that the Earth's climate is changing rapidly (Jones et20001; Karl and Trenberth2003; Hansen et al.2006; IPCC 2014). Particularly for birds and other endotherms, climate change has been linked to changes in phenology (C20604; Torti and Dunn2005; Macmynowski et al.2007; Miller-Rushing et al. 2008; Ve

captured in western Pennsylvania have exhibited decreasing fat-free mass (mass when fat score is zero) and wing length since 1961, and noted that this trend was consistent with a response to a warming climate. In contrast, Salewski et al. (2010) found no general trend for body size changes in response to climate change between 1972 and 2006 for 12 central European passerines. In a study of 11 bird species in Germany, Salewski et al20(14) found that morphological changes between 1889 and 2010 were not consistent either within or across species, and that observed changes were not associated with temperature.

In addition to these inconsistent results, Goodman et al. (2012) found that body sizes of birds in California increased between 1983 and 2009, and attributed these changes to increases in primary productivity or climatic variation. Thus, although climate change has been associated with morphological responses in birds, observed changes have varied across species and across studies (Gardner et al 2011). Given the myriad factors that can in uence body size (Peters 983; Calder 1984) and the complex interaction between climate and body size (Ozgul et al.2009 2010 Chown2012 Huey et al.2012, observed heterogeneity in the magnitude and direction of body size responses to climate change should not be surprising (Millien et al. 2006). Here, we examine whether avian body size changes also vary regionally within species and ask whether populations of the same species exhibit differing changes in body size over time. Our objectives in this exploratory analysis were to (1) examine how body sizes of resident and short-distance migratory birds have changed in Laurel, Maryland, from 1980 to 2012, (2) evaluate whether observed morphological monsebody

free mass, time of day and fat score were also included **as**om Birds of North America species accounts to select a xed continuous variables. suitable region.

For each of the 20 species included in the GLMM, we estimated the morphological change (i.e., change in wing length and change in fat-free mass over years) using the esults MIXED procedure in the SAS program. We included age, sex, year, and Julian day as xed effects. For analyses dt/orphological changes over time fat-free mass, we also included time of day and fat score.

We examined the in uence of annual temperatureMean wing length across species increased between 1980 variation only on resident species, because short-distancend 2012  $\mathbb{F}_{1, 35311}$ = 13.00, P\ 0.001, Table1). migrants might be less impacted by regional temperatures

that were used as explanatory variables. We added medifible 1 Summaries of generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) summer temperature (SumTemp) and mean winter temperature (mass, and fat score) for 20 resident and shortperature (WintTemp) as continuous xed effects to the twodistance migrant species from 1980 to 2012

GLMMs (for wing length and fat-free mass) described	Estimata	SE	F value P
previously. To test whether species differed in their slopes	Estimate	SE	F value P
to SumTemp and WintTemp, we compared models withWing length			
and without the heterogeneity in slopes with a likelihood Year	0.000129	0.000036	13.00 \ 0.001
ratio test (West et al2006). Signi cance of effects was Julian day	0.000198	0.000011	328.41 0.001
determined fromF-tests (Type III SS). We estimated the Age			
in uence of mean summer and mean winter temperature on AHY	0.01921	0.000454	1791.56 \ 0.001
each species separately by adding SumTemp and Wint- HY	0		
Temp to the MIXED models described previously. Sex			
To examine overall changes in body condition between Female	- 0.02607	0.00076	4227.10\ 0.001
1980 and 2012, we used GLMM to model fat score as a $_{\sf Male}$	0.03445	0.00077	
function of year, time of day, Julian date, age, and sex with Unknown	0		
the GLIMMIX procedure in SAS. To test whether species <sub>Fat-free</sub>			
differed in their slopes, we compared models with and Year	- 0.000060	0.000088	0.43 0.5 <sup>2</sup>
without the heterogeneity in slopes with a likelihood ratio	0.000058	0.000003	295.27\ 0.001
test (West et al2006). We examined changes in fat score Julian day	0.000713	0.00002	1238.65 0.001
for each species separately with the MIXED procedure, Age			
with year, time, Julian date, age, and sex as explanatory AHY	0.00858	0.00082	110.86 \ 0.001
variables.	0		
Long-term declines in body size could indicate dete-	-		
riorating environmental conditions (Teplitsky et <b>20</b> 08).	- 0.02976	0.00137	1554.50\ 0.001
Environmental degradation could reduce the quality and Male	0.03619	0.00137	
availability of food, resulting in smaller and less healthy	0		
individuals, which could scal up to population declines.	Ū		1406.37 \ 0.001
To examine this possibility, we examined Pearson corre-			
lation coef clents betwee changes in body size (wing	- 0.00525	0.00035	224.83 \ 0.001
length and fat-free mass)nanges in body condition (fat	0.00029	0.00003	98.08 \ 0.001
score), and changes in population size across species.	0.00693	0.000171	1634.40 0.001
Positive correlations cour indicate environmental	0.00000	0.000171	1004.40 0.001
degradation (van Buskirk et azoroj. winter population	0.04073	0.007099	32.91 \ 0.001
density was obtained from the Christmas Bird Count	0.04073	0.007035	52.31 \ 0.001
(www.addubon.org/bird/cbc/index.nt),iiwe selected 03	0		
Living Onlied States for the County/Region. Dreeding	- 0.00785	0.01189	17.29 \ 0.001
population density was delated from Dreeding Dird	- 0.05959	0.01189	17.29 \ 0.001
Survey data using the New Liagu/Mid-Atlantic Coast		0.01194	
region for local breeders.df northern breeders, we used Unknown	0		

the Atlantic Northern Forset region; when a species Estimates are coef cients; negative coef cients indicate declining population trend was not found in this region, we used size and positive coef cients indicate increasing size Boreal Hardwood Transition or consulted range maps Estandard error

Although signi cant, the mean magnitude of change in Fat-free mass showed a negative but non-signi cant wing length was just 0.41 % over the course of the studytrend across years  $f_{(.33481)} = 0.43$ , P = 0.51, Table1), Change in wing length differed signi cantly across species but species varied signi cantly in change in fat-free mass  $(v^2 = 116.282.7, df = 1, P \setminus 0.001)$  and ranged from  $(v^2 = 145.264.4, df = 1, P \setminus 0.001)$ , ranging from 4.11 - 0.90 to ? 2.47 %. Of the 20 species examined, 16 to ? 5.22 % between 1980 and 2012. Fat-free mass of Blue exhibited an increasing trend in wing lengt  $\mathbf{P} \neq 0.012$ , Jays Cyanocitta cristata - 4.11 %), Ruby-crowned Kintwo-tailed binomial test, Tabl@). Of species with a sigglets (Regulus calendula- 1.18 %), and White-throated ni cant trend. 10 of 11 exhibited increases in wing length Sparrows Zonotrichia albicollis - 0.73 %) decreased (P = 0.003, two-tailed binomial test, Tab 2), with only signi cantly, and fat-free mass of three species (Eastern Phoebe,Sayornis phoebe5.22 %; Gray CatbirdDume-Dark-eyed JuncosJ(unco hyemali)sshowing a signi cant decrease. tella carolinensis 0.66 %; and Tufted Titmouse,

Table 2 Changes in log-transformed wing length and log-transformed fat-free n@asto(000) and change in fat score on a 0-4 scale (9 10,000) 1980-2012

Family	Species code	Scienti c name	Wing		Fat-free mass		Fat score	
Common name			Est.	SE	Est.	SE	Est.	SE
Cardinalidae								
Northern Cardinal	NOCA	Cardinalis cardinalis	7.63	1.63	4.71	3.41	- 1.7	6.9
Corvidae								
Blue Jay	BLJA	Cyanocitta cristata	- 2.80	2.39	- 13.10	5.37	- 27.8	17.9
Emberizidae								
Dark-eyed Junco SCJU		Junco hyemalis	- 2.00	0.36	- 1.60	0.86	- 27.8	7.5
Swamp Sparrow	ow SWSP Melospiza		- 0.01	1.41	1.17	2.79	- 80.6	22.2
Song Sparrow	SOSP Melospiza melodia		0.11	1.12	- 1.90	2.04	- 56.2	14.7
Fox Sparrow	-		0.40	2.07	- 7.10	3.69	4.1	32.3
•		Pipilo erythrophthalmus	1.78	2.01	0.27	1.91	- 67.7	16.0
Field Sparrow	FISP	Spizella pusilla	4.39	1.78	2.00	2.75	3.6	22.2
White-throated Sparrow	WTSP	Zonotrichia albicollis	- 0.20	0.43	- 2.30	0.97	- 65.7	8.8
Mimidae								
Gray Catbird	GRCA	Dumetella carolinensis	2.71	0.55	2.05	0.84	- 57.0	7.5
Paridae								
Tufted Titmouse	TUTI	Baeolophus bicolor	6.64	1.63	8.4 <b>8</b>	3.47	- 11.6	6.3
Carolina Chickadee	CACH	Poecile carolinensis	3.84 <sup>*</sup>	1.75	4.61	3.42	- 22.1	8.8
Parulidae								
Yellow-rumped Warbler	MYWA	Setophaga coronata	7.02	0.83	3.31	2.11	14.0	17.
Regulidae								
Ruby-crowned Kinglet	RCKI	Regulus calendula	1.32 <sup>*</sup>	0.63	- 3.70	1.39	- 45.9	16.4
Golden-crowned Kinglet	GCKI	Regulus satrapa	0.72	0.80	- 0.30	2.01	- 111.1	23.7
Troglodytidae								
Carolina Wren	CARW							

Baeolophus bicolor2.75 %) increased signi cantly, from did not differ signi cantly across speciesv<sup>2</sup>(=3.9, 1980 (Table2). Across species, change in wing length anddf = 1, P = 0.10, Table4). Eastern TowheesP(pilo erychange in fat-free mass were positively correlatedthrophthalmus and Northern CardinalsQardinalis cardi-(r = 0.82, N = 20, P\ 0.001, Fig.1). nalis) exhibited signi cantly shorter wings with increases Across all species, fat scores decreased between 1980 mean summer temperature, and no species had signi - and 2012  $\mathbb{F}_{1, 35272}$ = 224.83,P\ 0.001, Table1), with a cantly longer wings (Table4). Increasing mean winter decrease of 0.17 (on a 0–4 scale) over the course of themperatures were not related to wing lengths of residents study. Species exhibited signi cant variation in fat score(F<sub>1, 6654</sub>= 0.03, change over time  $v_{1}^{2}$  = 3704.65, df = 1, P\ 0.001, Table2), ranging from - 0.36 to ? 0.04 over 32 years. Eleven of the 20 species exhibited a signi cant decline in fat score, and none showed a signi cant increase

(P\ 0.001, two-tailed binomial test, Tab2).

Body size changes and annual temperature variation

Wing length

Across resident species, increasing mean summer temperatures were associated with shorter wing lengths  $(F_{1, 6654}= 5.77, P = 0.016, Table3)$ . Although signi cant, the magnitude of wing change was smal0(26 %/ C). The association between mean summer temperature and wing length ranged from 0.63 % to? 0.15 %/C but Table 3 Summaries of generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) mean winter temperature  $f_{(, 6629)} = 3.64, P = 0.06$ ), and for log-transformed wing length, log-transformed fat-free body mass the relationship with mean winter temperature did not vary and fat score for 9 resident species from 1980–2012 signi cantly across species f = 1.95, df = 1, P = 0.27).

	coldent opecie	3 110111 1000	2012	signi cantly across species/ $f = 1.95$ , df = 1, P = 0.27).	
Source of variation	Estimate	SE	F value P	Fat score of Song Sparrowslelospiza melodiadecreased	
Wing length				with increasing mean winter temperature (Ta <b>b</b> )e	
Year	0.000372	0.000082	20.55 \ 0.001	Correlated morphological changes and annual	
Julian day	0.000207	0.000022	89.93\ 0.001	temperature variation	
Age					
AHY	0.02201	0.001468	224.64 \ 0.001	Across resident species, the effects of mean summer tem-	
HY	0			perature on wing length and on fat-free mass were not	
Sex				correlated ( = $0.44$ , N = 9, P = $0.24$ , Fig.2). The effects	
Female	- 0.01659	0.00474	223.98 \ 0.001	of mean winter temperature on wing length and fat-free	
Male	0.02731	0.00486		mass were positively correlated $r \neq 0.80$ , N = 9,	
Unknown	0			P = 0.01, Fig.3). Wing responses to increasing summer	
SumTemp	- 0.00257	0.00107	5.77 0.016	<sup>3</sup> temperature and to increasing winter temperature were not	
WintTemp	0.000091	0.000548	0.03 0.87		
Fat-free mass				mass with increasing summer temperature was also not	
Year	0.00023	0.000158	2.11 0.15		
Time	0.000057	0.000008	50.90 \ 0.001	winter temperaturer(= $0.44$ , N = 9, P = $0.24$ ).	
Julian day	0.000509	0.000034	229.09\ 0.001		
Age				Body size changes over time and annual	
AHY	0.01898	0.002209	73.87 \ 0.001	temperature variation	
HY	0				
Sex				Across resident species, change in wing length over time	
Female	- 0.02288	0.00715	219.73 \ 0.001	was not correlated with response to mean summer tem-	
Male	0.04268	0.00733		perature $(= -0.60, N = 9, P = 0.09)$ or to mean winter	
Unknown	0			temperaturer(= $-0.27$ , N = 9, P = 0.48). Change in fat-	
Fat			49.53 \ 0.001	free mass over time was not correlated with response to	
SumTemp	- 0.00227	0.001293	3.08 0.079	e mean summer temperature $\neq$ 0.26, N = 9, P = 0.50) or	
WintTemp	- 0.00188	0.000639	8.63 0.003	3 to mean winter temperature $r \notin -0.60$ , $N = 9$ ,	
Fat score				P = 0.09).	
Year	- 0.00343	0.000617	30.92 \ 0.001		
Time	0.000182	0.000047	15.23 \ 0.001	Assessment of deteriorating environmental	
Julian day	0.001442	0.000194	55.00\ 0.001	conditions	
Age					
AHY	0.02982	0.01286	5.38 0.020		
HY	0			weakly positively correlated across species=(0.45,	
Sex				N = 20, $P = 0.045$ , Fig.4), but these morphological	
Female	- 0.02176	0.03860	2.81 0.06 <sup>2</sup>		
Male	- 0.06121	0.03959		lation change $\mathbb{N} = 20$ , $\mathbb{P}[0.07 \text{ for all tests}, \text{Table})$ .	
Unknown	0			Change in mass over time was weakly correlated with	
SumTemp	0.000068	0.006282	0.00 0.99	change in breeding population density since 1980	
WintTemp	- 0.00911	0.004775	3.64 0.056	(r = 0.45, N = 20, P = 0.049, Fig.5; Table 5), but not	
Estimates are coef	cients, and SE	is standard		with change in winter population densityr $\neq$ 0.26, N = 20, P = 0.27, Table5).	

Fat score

Discussion

Fat score of residents was not associated with increasing mean summer temperature  $F_1(_{6629}=0.00, P=0.99, We$  document widespread increases in wing length, but not Table 3) and did not vary signi cantly across species in fat-free mass, across 20 resident and short-distance ( $v^2 = 0.08$ , df = 1, P = 0.87). Fat score did not vary with migrant species between 1980 and 2012. Annual

temperature variation was associated with some changes in body size, but the magnitude and direction of change depended on the trait examined. In contrast, Van Buskirk et al. (2010) found that wing length and fat-free mass of passerines had decreased since 1961 in western Pennsylvania, and McCoy (2012) found that mean wing length of three of six resident species in Connecticut declined between two sampling periods, 1874–1952 and 1958–2010. These results suggest that changes in avian body size can exhibit different patterns over regional spatial scales, and

signi cantly in Connecticut, but did not change signi cantly in our study. Van Buskirk et al2010 analyzed all six species examined by McCo2012. Only Purple Finches (Haemorhous purpure)), which decreased in both locations, and White-breasted Nuthatch Stitté carolinensis, which showed no signi cant trend, exhibited consistent patterns. Some species showed signi cant decreases in western Pennsylvania, but no signi cant change in Connecticut, and these discrepancies might be

were small:- 0.13 to? 0.16 %/year in our study; 0.08 to? 0.02 %/year in Van Buskirk et al2(010), and- 0.03 to? 0.08 %/year in Goodman et a2(012). In our study, 16 of 20 species showed a trend of increasing wing length, and 10 of these trends were statistically signi cant. Van Buskirk et al. (2010) analyzed 19 of the 20 species in our study (all except Carolina Chickade&becile carolinens)) and found that wing length decreased signi cantly in 12 species and did not increase signi cantly in any species. Dark-eyed Juncos, the only species that showed signi cantly shorter wing length in our study, did not show a signi cant trend in theirs. McCoy's (2012) ndings also show that species can exhibit differing body size changes. Wing lengths of Blue Jays, the only species in common with our study, decreased

associated with wet-bulb temperature, a measure that incorporates temperature and humidity, than with temperature alone. We found that annual variation in mean summer and mean winter temperatures was related to some measures of body size of residents. Increasing mean summer temperatures were associated with shorter wing three anonymous reviewers commented on an earlier draft of the ardner JL, Amano T, Backwell PRY et al (2014a) Temporal patterns manuscript. Rhodes College provided nancial support, and USGS provided logistical support.

#### References

- Acquarone C, Cucco M, Malacarne G, Silvano F (2004) TemporaryGeist V (1987) Bergmann's rule is invalid. Can J Zool 65:1035-1038 shift of body size in hooded crowGorvus coronecornix of NW Italy. Folia Zool 53:379-384
- Arnett AE, Gotelli NJ (1999) Bergmann's rule in the ant lion Myrmeleon immaculatugeGeer (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae): geographic variation in body size and heterozygosity. J Biogeog Gosler AG, Greenwood JJD, Baker JK, Davidson NC (1998) The eld 26:275-283. doit0.1046/j.1365-2699.1999.00271.x
- Ashton KG (2002) Patterns of within-species body size variation of birds: strong evidence for Bergmann's rule. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 11:505-523. doit0.1046/j.1466-822X.2002.00313.x
- Atkinson D (1994) Temperature and organism size-a biological law for ectotherms? Adv Ecol Res 25:1-58
- Bergmann C (1847) Ueber die Verhaltnisse der Warmeokonomie der Hansen J, Sato M, Ruedy R et al (2006) Global temperature change. Thiere zu ihrer Grosse. Gottinger Stud 3:595-708
- Blackburn TM, Gaston KJ (1996) Spatial patterns in the body sizes of bird species in the new World. Oikos 77:436-446
- Blackburn TM, Gaston KJ, Loder N (1999) Geographic gradients in body size: a clari cation of Bergmann's rule. Divers Distrib 5:165-174
- Brown CR, Brown MB (1999) Intense natural selection on tail and IPCC (2014) Climate change 2014: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerbill morphology in Barn Swallowshirundo rusticaduring severe weather. Ibis (Lond 1859) 141:52-659
- Bumpus HC (1899) Biology lectures. Mar Biol Lab Woods Hole 11:209
- Calder WA (1984) Size, function and life history. Harvard University Press, Cambridge
- Caruso NM, Sears MW, Adams DC, Lips KR (2014) Widespread rapid reductions in body size of adult salamanders in response to climate change. Glob Chang Biol 20:1751-1759. toi111/ gcb.12550
- Chown SL (2012) Trait-based approaches to conservation physiology: forecasting environmental change risks from the bottom up. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci 367:1615-1627. doi:1098/rstb. 2011.0422
- Crick HQP (2004) The impact of climate change on birds. Ibis (Lond 1859) 146:48-56
- Cushman JH, Lawton JH, Manly BFJ (1993) Latitudinal patterns in European ant assemblages: variation in species richness and body size. Oecologia 95:30-37. db): 1007/BF00649503
- Daufresne M, Lengfellner K, Sommer U (2009) Global warming bene ts the small in aquatic ecosystems. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:12788-12793. ddi0.1073/pnas.0902080106
- Davis S (1981) The effects of temperature change and domestication on the body size of Late Pleistocene to Holocene mammals of Israel. Paleobiology 7:101-114
- Desrochers A (2010) Morphological response of songbirds to 100 years of landscape change in North America. Ecology 91:1577-1582. doi:0.1890/09-2202.1
- Easterling DR, Evans JL, Groisman PY et al (2000) Observed variability and trends in extreme climate events: a brief review. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 81:417-425. ddi0.1175/1520-0477(2000)0810417:OVATIE 2.3.CO;2
- Freeman S, Jackson WM (1990) Univariate metrics are not adequate to measure avian body size. Auk 107:69-74
- Gardner JL, Peters A, Kearney MR et al (2011) Declining body size: a third universal response to warming? Trends Ecol Evol 26:285-291. doit:0.1016/j.tree.2011.03.005

of avian body size re ect linear size responses to broadscale environmental change over the last 50 years. J Avian Biol 45:1-7. doi10.1111/jav.00431

Gardner JL, Amano T, Mackey BG et al (2014b) Dynamic size responses to climate change: prevailing effects of rising temperature drive long-term body size increases in a semi-arid passerine. Glob Chang Biol 20:2062-2075. doi:1111/gcb.12507

- Goodman RE, Lebuhn G, Seavy NE et al (2012) Avian body size changes and climate change: warming or increasing variability? Glob Chang Biol 18:63-73. ddi0.1111/j.1365-2486.2011. 02538.x
  - determination of body size and condition in passerines: a report to the British Ringing Committee. Bird Study 45:92-103. doi: 1080/00063659809461082
- Graves GR (1991) Bergmann's rule near the equator: latitudinal dines in body size of an Andean passerine bird. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88:2322-2325
  - Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:14288-14293. doi:1073/pnas. 0606291103
- Huey RB, Kearney MR, Krockenberger A et al (2012) Predicting organismal vulnerability to climate warming: roles of behaviour, physiology and adaptation. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci 367:1665-1679. doi:0.1098/rstb.2012.0005
  - ability. Part A: global and sectoral aspects. Contribution of working group II to the fth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge University Press,

- Meiri S, Dayan T (2003) On the validity of Bergmann's rule. J Biogeogr 30:331–351
- Miller-Rushing AJ, Lloyd-Evans TL, Primack RB, Satzinger P (2008) Bird migration times, climate change, and changing population sizes. Glob Chang Biol 14:1959–1972. doi:1111/j.1365-2486. 2008.01619.x
- Millien V, Kathleen Lyons S, Olson L et al (2006) Ecotypic variation in the context of global climate change: revisiting the rules. Ecol Lett 9:853–869. doit0.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00928.x
- Min SK, Zhang X, Zwiers FW, Hegerl GC (2011) Human contribution to more-intense precipitation extremes. Nature 470:378–381. dot:0.1038/nature09763
- Olalla-Táraga MÁ, Rodrguez MÁ, Hawkins BA (2006) Broad-scale patterns of body size in squamate reptiles of Europe and North America. J Biogeogr 33:781–793. db0.1111/j.1365-2699. 2006.01435.x
- Olson VA, Davies RG, Orme CDL et al (2009) Global biogeography and ecology of body size in birds. Ecol Lett 12:249–259. tbi: 1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01281.x
- Ozgul A, Tuljapurkar S, Benton TG, et al (2009) The dynamics of phenotypic change and the shrinking sheep of St. Kilda. Science (80-) 325:464–7
- Ozgul A, Childs DZ, Oli MK et al (2010) Coupled dynamics of body mass and population growth in response to environmental change. Nature 466:482–485. dt0:1038/nature09210
- Parmesan C, Yohe G (2003) A globally coherent ngerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. Nature 421:37–42
- Peters RH (1983) The ecological implications of body size. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Pyle P (1997) Identi cation guide to North American birds. Part 1. Slate Creek Press, Bolinas
- Ray C (2005) The application of Bergmann's and Allen's rules to the poikilotherms. J Morphol 106:85–108. db0.1002/jmor. 1051060104
- Rising JD, Somers KM (1989) The measurment of overall body size in birds. Auk 106:666–674
- Rosenzweig ML (1968) The strategy of body size in mammalian carnivores. Am Midl Nat 80:299–315
- Salewski V, Hochachka WM, Fiedler W (2010) Global warming and Bergmann's rule: do central European passerines adjust their